UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,085	11/08/2004	Erik Hestvik	04196	2788
23338 DENNISON, S	7590 08/21/200' CHULTZ & MACDON	EXAMINER		
1727 KING ST		MAI, TRI M		
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3781	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\bigcirc	
\mathrew (1)	
0 0	

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/512,085	HESTVIK, ERIK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri M. Mai	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>26-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29 and 38-40</u> is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>26-28,30-37 and 41-43</u> is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3781

1. Claims 29, and 38-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention as previously set forth.

Claims 38-40 are withdrawn because these claims are different embodiment.

The examiner notes that the search and consideration for the holder in each of these embodiments is time consuming and impose a tremendous burden on the examiner since each embodiment is required to searched in different classes and subclass.

- 2. The drawings submitted 08/22/06 have been disapproved. These drawings contain new matter, e.g., the original disclosure does not teach the device being used on the location in Fig. 6, and the extra strap between the two holders, the location of the device in Fig. 7,
- 3. Claims 26, 27, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 41, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregg in view of Parsons et al. (4955518). Gregg teaches a holder having a locking device having a first holding member having a gap at 84, a second holding member in Fig. 10 with a diameter in Fig. 10, and the distance between the first and second holding members being adjustable, col. 7, ln. 15, Gregg meets all claimed limitations except for clip with the locking device, Parsons teaches that it is known in the art to provide a clip with a locking device at 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a holding clip locking device as taught by Parsons to provide added security.

Regarding claim 32, the first holding member in Gregg in view of Parsons inherently has a high frictional contact as compared to the second holding member due to the device having the strap holding tightly the content.

Art Unit: 3781

Regarding claim 41, Parsons teaches that it is known in the art to make holder from plastic, col. 3, ln. 56. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the holder from plastic to provide the desired material for the holding device.

- 4. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Gregg rejection in view of Oakes (3992799). Gregg meets all claimed limitations except for the material being rubber material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide rubber material as taught by Oakes, col. 4, ln. 18 to provide the desired material for the desired resiliency.
- 5. Claims 26, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Austin et al. (4006825). Austin teaches a first holding member at 13 with a holding device 25 and a second holding member at 12 having an opening as claimed and the distance between the first and second holding members is adjustable, i.e., the two holding member can be adjusted via the screws 14.
- 6. Claims 30, 35, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin in view of McClellan (4132381). Austin meets all claimed limitations except for the bracket and strap are manufacture from the same material. McClellan teaches that it is known in the art to provide the bracket and strap manufactured from the same material as one homogenous piece being rubber, col. 1, ln. 61. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide bracket and strap manufactured from the same material as taught by McClellan as one homogenous piece for convenience of manufacturing and/or to provide an alternative clamp for the device.

Art Unit: 3781

- 7. Claim 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ratcliff et al. (6435469). Ratcliff teaches a first holding member 57 with a locking device 103, a second holder 33 and the distance being adjustable via 85, 87.
 - 8. Claims 26, 34, 35, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marino (4751923). Marino teaches a 1st holding member being either loop 58 or 38, and the locking device is the hook and loop fasteners, and the other loop of 38 and 58 is the second loop with a diameter as claimed, i.e, the loop can conform to the diameter of the device and thus having diameter as claimed. The two loops can be adjustable as claimed.
 - 9. Claims 36, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marino in view of Chee (5086762). Marino meets all claimed limitations except for the buckle. Chee teaches that it is known in the art to provide a buckle for a belt at 52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a belt as taught by Marino to provide an alternative device for belt.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the holding members on opposite sides of the buckle to provide the desired placement of the holding members.

10. Claims 26, 34 35, 36, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (4863083). Chen teaches a 1st holding member being one of the loop in figs. 1, and the locking device is the hook and loop fasteners 28, and one of other loop of is the second loop with a diameter as claimed, i.e, the loop can conform to the diameter of the device and thus having diameter as claimed. The loops can be adjustable via the loops 24/30 as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,085 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781